

STATEMENT ON THE PRINCIPAL ADVERSE IMPACTS OF INVESTMENT DECISIONS ON SUSTAINABILITY FACTORS

Financial market participant : Axiom AI, LEI : 969500QVL977C8L8Q834

Summary

Axiom AI takes considers principal adverse impacts of its investment decisions on sustainability factors. The present statement is the consolidated statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors of Axiom AI.

This statement on principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors covers the reference period from January 1, 2023, to December 31, 2023.

Axiom AI recognises the asset management industry's responsibility to limit the negative impact, whether direct or indirect, on environmental and social factors through its investment decisions. The Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) aims to capture these impacts through indicators of Principal Adverse Impacts (PAI).

This year, we have increased the coverage of our Principal Adverse Impact (PAI) calculations by incorporating data from Article 8 and 9 funds in which our funds invest, as well as by using data from an additional data provider. As a result, some indicators have changed significantly, particularly those related to greenhouse gas emissions. Indeed, with the integration of fund-level data, scope 3 emissions have tripled, which has significantly impacted the results for carbon footprint and GHG intensity (PAI 1, 2 and 3).

The increase in data coverage and quality resulted in more negative values for the following PAIs: PAI 5 (non-renewable energy consumption and production), 7 (biodiversity), 9 (waste), 11 (lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises) and 12 (gender pay gap).

Four PAIs showed a decrease due to our reduced exposure to companies in the real economy: Scope 1 GHG emissions (PAI 1), fossil fuel exposure (PAI 4), energy intensity by sector with high climate impact (PAI 6) and the share of companies that our data provider considers having violated the principles of the UN Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines (PAI 10).

Finally, for two PAIs, the primary driver of improvement has been corporate performance. These are PAI 13 (gender diversity in governance bodies) and voluntary PAI 4 (Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives).

As mentioned in our previous report, we have selected a new voluntary PAI focused on social aspects, as data quality for the previous one remained insufficient.



i. Description of the principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Adverse s	sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
		Climate	and other e	environment	al indicators	
		Scope 1 GHG emissions	5 666	8 044	Scope 1 emissions (excluding the fund's	General approach : Axiom AI i committed to contributing to the
	1. GHG emissions (tons	Scope 2 GHG emissions	2 424	2 734	exposure) were halved, firstly due to a reduction in	objectives of the Paris Agreemen Our journey began with our first
	CO2e)	Scope 3 GHG emissions	76 594	22 085	the portfolio's exposure to the most carbon-intensive	ESG/Climate fund, Axiom Climat Financial Bond, which has
		Total GHG emissions	87 117	32 864	due to a decrease in emissions from the companies that remained in ITR methodology aims to	decarbonisation target in line with th Paris Agreement, based on an Implie
	2. Carbon footprint (tons CO2e/m EUR)	Carbon footprint	53	16		ITR methodology aims to estimat banks' loan portfolios. Our aim is t
Greenhouse gas emissions	3. GHG intensity of investee companies (tons CO2e/m EUR)	GHG intensity of investee companies	98	51	Scope 2, the reduction in company emissions had a greater impact on the PAI's decrease than changes in exposure. This is also the case for Scope 3 emissions, which would actually decrease by 14% if the emissions of investments in money market funds were not included in 2022. These funds account for 75% of the total Scope 3 emissions.	 integrate climate considerations int all our Article 8 and 9 SFDR funds an mandates. Engagement : Axiom AI engages o climate-related topics with globa systemically important banks i Europe through collective an bilateral dialogue, and with small an medium-sized banks through bilatera dialogue. We are a member of th Institutional Investors Group o Climate Change and participate i their Net Zero working group o

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (%)	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	0,2	0,2 0,5	Exposure to fossil fuel companies was reduced by more than half due to portfolio managers' decision to decrease their positions in these companies and the liquidation of our Long Short Credit fund.	engagement with banks. In terms proxy voting, Axiom AI suppor shareholder proposals that required companies to prepare and plan climate change mitigation or se decarbonisation targets. Howeve such proposals are relatively rare the financial institutions sector.
5. Share of non- renewable energy consumption and production (%)	Share of non- renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources	36,9	15,8	The PAI more than doubled due to improved data quality and increased coverage.	Exclusions : Axiom Al's exclusion policy covers the exclusion activities with a significant negati impact on the climate (e.g. therm coal, conventional a unconventional oil and gas). Next reference period : In 2024, anticipate that three factors will lead a reduction in GHG emissions : 1. Changes in the strategy some of our funds which will lead to reduction in exposure to companies the real economy.
6.a. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE A	Energy consumption in GWh per million	0	0	The PAI decreased across all sectors except those under NACE code H,	 Reinforcing coal and oil a gas exclusion policy. Reduction of the greenhood
6.b. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE B	EUR of revenue of investee companies, per	0	0	primarily due to reduced exposure to companies with higher energy consumption	gas emissions of our portf companies.

	IN	DICATORS APPLIC	ABLE TO IN	/ESTMENTS	IN INVESTEE COMPANIES	
Adverse s	sustainability indicator	Metric	Metric Impact Impact Ex	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
	6.c. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE C	high impact climate sector	0,2	0,7	compared to financial firms, followed by a reduction in energy consumption by	In 2024, we will also strive to increase the coverage of Scope 3 category 15 emissions from financial institutions.
	6.d. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE D		0,01	0,1	most companies that remained in the portfolio.	
	6.e. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE E		0	0		
	6.f. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE F		0,01	0		
	6.g. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE G		0,6	0,9		
	6.h. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE H		0,2	0		
	6.I. Energy consumption intensity per high-impact climate sector - NACE L		0,3	0,9		
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity- sensitive areas (%)	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-	3%	0,2%	The increase in the indicator is mainly due to expanded coverage from the data provider. Coverage remains limited, and it is expected to continue improving over time	General approach: Biodiversity is taken into account in Axiom AI's ESG approach in two ways: 1. For companies in the real economy for which biodiversity is a material sustainability factor, our ESG rating takes into account their operations in

Adverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
	sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas				 areas of importance for biodivers and their policies or commitments preserving biodiversity. However, have noted that the data available this indicator is limited. 2. For financial institutions, through our ACRS rating through a review our lending/investment policies in sectors highly exposed to biodivers controversies: palm oil, forestry/p and agriculture. Low quality or policies will result in a lower ACI score. Next reporting period: In 2023, carried out the first exercise understand the biodivers dependencies and impacts of or portfolio companies. This was a to down exercise based on sector exposure. The analysis showed tharound 37% of our investments in reconomy companies, or around 1% our total investments, are in sector with high exposure to biodivers dependencies and impacts. The results were expected as on exposure to real economy companies are in sector with high exposure to real economy companies are in sector will examine whether this PAL or be managed through a more rob biodiversity exclusion policy.

Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tons of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0,00034	0,006	The decrease in the indicator is primarily due to reduced exposure to real economy companies, however data coverage for this indicator has not significantly increased.	General approach: Wate consumption is taken into account if the ESG ratings of companies in the financial and non-financial sector. The absence of published data will result if a lower ESG rating. However, the data available on this indicator is limited. Next reference period: Given our low exposure to companies in the real economy and the value of the PAI, we have removed the management priorities from this PAI and are non focusing on companies for which we have a more negative impact.
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tons of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average	0,05	0,04	The slight increase in the PAI is mainly attributed to expanded coverage from our data provider, coupled with a small rise in the indicator for certain portfolio companies which offset the decrease from others.	General approach: Waste disposal taken into account in the ESG rating of companies in the financial and nor financial sector. The absence published data will result in a low ESG rating. However, the dat available on this indicator is limited. Next reference period : Given of low exposure to companies in the re economy and the PAI value, we hav removed the PAI's management priorities to focus on companies when we have a more negative impact.



Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
	Indicators for s	ocial and employee,	respect for h	uman rights	s, anti-corruption and anti-br	ibery matters
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (%)	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	2,1	4,4	The decrease in this index is primarily due to reduced exposure to real economy companies. Only one company previously identified by our data provider as violating the UNGC or OECD Guidelines in 2022 is no longer flagged in 2023. It is worth noting that the evaluation by the data provider includes elements from the International Labour Organization conventions.	General approach: Axiom A approach to responsible investment guided by international standar such as the United Nations Glob Compact principles and the OEC Guidelines for Multination Enterprises to assess corpora behaviour. Exclusions : Our Article 8 funds a subject to prescriptive exclusions fro companies that have been implicate in violations of UNGC principle Exclusions are guided by the exclusion list of the Norwegia government, recommended by the Norwegian Ethics Council. Our Article 9 fund has a specific exclusion list additional companies. The companies are selected on the bas of our controversies database financial institutions. Next reference period: We had carried out a benchmarking exerci- with our peers showing that on exposure to this PAI is below average therefore we will continue to monitor our exposure on an annu- basis.

	IN	IDICATORS APPLICA		/ESTMENTS	IN INVESTEE COMPANIES	
Adverse sustain	ability indicator	Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
11	I. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (%)	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance /complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	25,6	4,2	This indicator is based on the evaluation of 10 different policies related to UNGC themes, with Axiom Al setting a minimum threshold of 5 to qualify a company as having sufficient policies. According to our data provider, only a handful of companies lack any policies. The increase in the indicator is mainly due to expanded data coverage, a decrease in the scores of certain portfolio companies, and new investments with scores below our threshold.	General approach: As a complement to PAI 10, this PAI tracks the proportion of investments in companies that do not have processes and compliance mechanisms in place to assess compliance with the UNGC principles and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises. This monitoring is carried out for all assets managed using data from a third-party data provider. Next reference period: The peer comparison showed us that our exposure to this indicator is below average, but this was expected as the threshold we set is quite high. We will continue to monitor the indicator and link any action to the results of performance indicator 10.
12. gend	Unadjusted er pay gap (%)	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	19,7%	19,1%	The indicator slightly increased, primarily due to improved data quality provided by our data provider.	General approach: The gender pay gap is taken into account in companies' ESG scores. The absence of published data results in a lower ESG score. Next reference period: This PAI will continue to be monitored, but no specific targets have been set, as there are still inconsistencies in the data.

dverse sustainability indicator	Metric Impact [2023]		Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period	
13. Board gender diversity (%)	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members	35%	31%	The indicator showed a positive trend as existing portfolio companies increased their proportion of women, and newly added companies had, on average, a higher proportion of women compared to those that exited the portfolio.	General approach: Gender diversindicators are taken into account companies' ESG scores. The absert of published data results in a low ESG rating. Proxy voting: Axiom Al votes again the re-election or election of m directors in companies where the proportion of women on the board below 30% and which have not diversity targets. Next reference period : This PAI is continue to be monitored; no spector objectives have been set because the indicator is higher than that of opeers	

dverse sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti- personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (%)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	0%	0%	No change was observed as expected, due to the application of our exclusion policy.	General approach/exclusion Axiom AI considers the followin weapons to be controversial: an personnel mines, cluster munition chemical and biological weapon blinding laser weapons an undetectable fragments, deplete uranium, incendiary weapons an nuclear weapons. The exclusion applies to companie that are involved in i.) the manufactur of weapons components intende solely for use in production and while are essential to the operation of the weapons, or ii.) which provide products and/or services such as the storage and transfer, and sale controversial weapons. The companies are excluded from the investments of our " <i>Long only</i> " fund Next reference period : Our share investments in this PAI is 0% and we continue to be so as we continue apply our policy of exclusion.

Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Environment	15. GHG Intensity	Number of investee countries subject to		405	The indicator slightly increased, with half of the countries showing a small rise in their GHG intensity	General approach/exclusion : Axiom A does not have fund strategies focused or investments in sovereign debt, thus ou exposure is very limited. Axiom AI restricts sovereign debt investments to countries tha fall within the top quartile of the UN SDG Country Index ¹ . These countries are typically developed nations with lower GHG intensity than developing countries due to their highe GDP. Next reference period: Monitoring of this PA will continue, but no specific target has been set as the data for the 2022 reference period only stabilized at the end of May 2023. We will conduct a peer comparison exercise to determine the best way to manage this PAI.
Social	16. Investee countries subject to social violations		0%	0%	No change compared to the previous period, as our exposure to this indicator is limited by our country policy	General approach/exclusion: Since Axior AI restricts sovereign debt investments to countries within the top quartile of the UN SDG Country Index, these countries are generally not subject to social violations a outlined in international treaties and conventions and the principles of the Unite Nations. Next reference period: Our share of investments in this PAI is 0% and will continue to be so as we continue to apply our policy of exclusions.

¹ https://dashboards.sdgindex.org/rankings



Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact Impact in [2023] [2022]		Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
Fossil fuels	17. Exposure to fossil fuels through real estate assets	Share of investments in real estate assets involved in the extraction, storage, transport or manufacture of fossil fuels	NA	NA	NA	Axiom AI has no exposure to real estate assets.
Energy efficiency	18. Exposure to energy- inefficient real estate assets	Share of investments in energy-inefficient real estate assets	NA	NA	NA	Axiom AI has no exposure to real estate assets.

AXIOM

		ADDITIONAL CLI	MATE AND O	THER ENVIR	ONMENTAL-RELATED FACT	ORS			
Indicators applicable to investments in investee companies									
Adver	se sustainability indicator	Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period			
Emissions	4. Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives Indicator	Share of investments in investee companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement	11% oyee, respec	15% t for human r	The indicator has improved slightly, as more of the companies in our portfolio have introduced decarbonisation initiatives/actions.	During this first reference period, the main actions were devoted to estimating and monitoring the indicator. The result is fairly positive. In 2023, we will assess whether it is possible to engage with some of the emitters that have not taken initiatives to reduce emissions.			
Adverse sustainability indicator		Metric	Impact [2023]	Impact [2022]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period			
Anti- corruption and anti- bribery	15. Lack of anti- corruption and anti- bribery policies	Share of investments in entities without policies on anti- corruption and anti- bribery consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption (%)	0,14%	NA	NA	Unfortunately, we found that data coverage was very poor. That is why, in 2024, we replaced this voluntary indicator with a new one. The new indicator, "Number of convictions and fines for breaches of anti-corruption legislation and acts of corruption", is relevant to companies in the financial sector, but with better coverage of the most vulnerable sectors.			



ii. Description of policies to identify and prioritise the main adverse impacts on sustainability factors

Identification. The SFDR has defined a series of mandatory and voluntary indicators intended to measure the degree of adverse impacts that asset management companies may have on the environment and society through their investments in companies and states.

Axiom Al's process for identifying the materiality of these PAIs was carried out in two steps. First, we compared the performance indicators to our responsible investment policy. This process identified the indicators already taken into account, directly or indirectly, and the impact of these policies on limiting adverse impacts. It also highlighted indicators where policies were either missing or could be improved.

The second step involved quantifying the indicators, which also included sourcing data from different providers. While data providers were selected in 2021/2022, several adjustments were made to the datasets over the year and up to May 2023. Some changes were made following clarifications provided by the European Commission. As a result, we could only gain an accurate view of entity-level PAIs by June 2023.

Prioritization. The ESG Committee is the body with overall responsibility for defining the prioritization of PAIs at the entity and fund levels, as well as for identifying policies that need to be improved or implemented to reduce the adverse impacts of our investments. An initial prioritization was carried out in 2022 through the selection of fund-level PAIs for our Article 8 and Article 9 funds. This prioritization primarily considered the materiality of PAIs for companies in the financial sector, the primary sector in which Axiom AI invests.

In 2023, we established more detailed rules on how PAIs would be integrated to apply the SFDR's "do no significant harm" principle. Prioritization was thus conducted to define exclusion thresholds based on the materiality of the PAI. A summary is provided below:

- PAI 1 (Absolute GHG emissions): We ensure that companies do not significantly increase their GHG emissions year-over-year, especially those with the highest absolute GHG emissions within their sector.
- PAI 3 (GHG intensity): Companies must not display extreme values.
- PAI 4 (Companies active in the fossil fuel sector): No company in the fossil fuel sector can be considered a sustainable investment.
- PAI 5 (Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production): A company must not display extreme values.
- PAI 6 (Energy consumption intensity per high climate impact sector): No company active in these sectors can be considered a sustainable investment.
- PAI 10 (Violations of the UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises): Companies must not violate these principles.
- PAI 11 (Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises): Companies must have implemented a minimum number of policies aligned with these two market standards.
- PAI 12 (Unadjusted gender pay gap): Companies must not show extreme values for the unadjusted gender pay gap.
- PAI 13 (Gender diversity in governance bodies): Companies must have at least 20% representation of women in governance bodies.
- PAI 14 (Exposure to controversial weapons): Companies must not engage in activities directly linked to manufacturers of controversial weapons or their component and service suppliers.



Although our data providers improved their coverage in 2023, we still lack data for several companies regarding PAI 7 (activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas), PAI 8 (emissions to water), and PAI 9 (hazardous and radioactive waste ratio). However, these indicators are not material for companies classified as sustainable investments, which, for now, consist only of banks and insurers.

iii. Engagement Policy

Axiom AI engages with systemically important European banks on climate-related topics through both collective and individual engagement, and with small and medium-sized banks via bilateral engagement. We are members of the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change and participate in the Net Zero working group focused on banks engagement. This approach may influence indicators PAI 1 to 3; however, we cannot establish a direct causal link due to insufficient evidence.

In terms of proxy voting, Axiom AI's policy is to vote against the re-election or election of male directors at companies where women comprise less than 30% of the board and where no diversity targets have been set. Given Axiom AI's limited influence on its equity issuers, we cannot confirm that this policy impacts the PAI 13 indicator.

iv. References to international standards

Axiom AI participates in international and national initiatives promoting sustainable development in the financial sector. We have been members of the Principles for Responsible Investment since 2016, joined the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change in 2021, and became members of the CDP in 2022.

We believe in the importance of the financial sector's contribution to the objectives of the Paris Agreement. Details of our approach can be found in Section I.F. of our climate report.